

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity: Shell Fenstanton PG Note: PG1/14

Discussed with: Wayne Gibbie LA Reference: P19/98

Inspector's Name: Dave Bass Date: 06/03/2009

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.				
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	40	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0	0
(C) Has a written maintenance programme been provided to the regulator with respect to pollution control equipment?	0	5	0	0
(D) Is there a log book at the authorised premises incorporating details of all maintenance, examination and testing, inventory checking, installation and repair work carried out?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	40	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 35)			0

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0
(B) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the installation trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit?	0	5	0	0
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0
(D) Does the operator keep, and make available, a record of the training received by each person whose actions may have an impact on the environment?	0	5	0	0
(E) Is there a competent trained person who remains near the tanker during unloading? (Delivery drivers may be trained as the competent person)	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25)			0
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 110			0

Overall scores	Score given
<i>Operator Performance Appraisal</i>	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	0

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 110	0
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

The site has a log book but it is required to be updated as some information out of date and others in the wrong place. The vapour recovery system certificate is out of date although the last check was still within the timescale according to the permit.