

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kates Cabin	PG Note:	PG1/14(13)
Discussed with:	Roger and Rachel	LA Reference:	P01/04
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	23/02/2016

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		s	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreal linked to an incident at the process.	sonable (or which c	annot be	clearly
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Rec	ords			
	Possible Scores		ores	Scores
riterion Yes		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	θ	0	0
(C) Has a written maintenance programme been provided to the regulator with respect to pollution control equipment?	0	5	Ð	0
(D) Is there a log book at the authorised premises incorporating details of all maintenance, examination and testing, inventory checking, installation and repair work carried out?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	10	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 35) 0		0	

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the installation trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Does the operator keep, and make available, a record of the training received by each person whose actions may have an impact on the environment?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Is there a competent trained person who remains near the tanker during unloading? (Delivery drivers may be trained as the competent person)	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	Ð	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	e -10 to 11	0	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-5

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Operator only took over site in December 2015 so many operating practices are still under the

previous operator systems. These are being changed to the new systems currently e.g. the log

book. No incidents on site. While the system is DCD they train up sufficient staff to understand

process in case of issues. No formal EMS but company looks at replacing old equipment for new

e.g. recently changed the light system to LED.