

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

11131171333			<b>U</b> .		
Name of permitted activity:	GPS PE Pipe Systems	PG No	te: <u>PG</u>	32/09	9(13)
Discussed with:	Mr Eric Cross	LA Referenc	e: <u>B2</u>	2/93	}
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: <u>17/11/2016</u>			2016
Environmental Impact Ap	nraisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ					
PDR Pick Pating Category  Possible Scores		Δ	Score warded		
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		<del>20</del>			
(C) Category 3		30 30		30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0 0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT	Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Pr	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High Medium Low		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del> 5		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		12	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	0		0
combustion, incineration (not crema	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible S	Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems		0 0		0	
Total Score for Environmental Im	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70 0		0	

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition					
3) Incident leading to a justified complaint 10		per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		it		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		it		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro	ecords				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
	Yes No N/A Awarded				
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	-5	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45)		-5		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (150)		-10		

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Emission test showed consistent compliance so reduction in frequency agreed. Maintenance still r
undertaken by external company with some regular checks by operator. Times of use and operator
procedures kept near the plant. Company has ISO14001. Company utilises a vacuum pump to
clean around the area and is considering something similar to empty the bed.