

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Glynwed Pipe Systems	PG Not	te: <u>PC</u>	G2/9		
Discussed with:	Eric Cross	LA Reference	:e: <u>B2</u>	22/93	8	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: <u>30/03/2012</u>				
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	nental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score warded		
(A) Category 1		10				
B) Category 2		20				
(C) Category 3		30		30		
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		4	Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT	Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium		Low	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	s and by	' a fac	ctor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		4	Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10				
(B) No such air pollution problems		0	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 t	Range 0 to 70 42		42	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Scale of Non-Compliance Possil		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	Ð	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Critorian	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
otal Score for Operator Performance Appraisal Range -10 to 105 (130) -5			-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	37

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	37
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Emission test being undertaken during inspection. Only the fluidised bed furnace was being tested

as the Cleaning Furnace is not being used. The issues with the pilot light have been resolved and

a full maintenance check was undertaken in Jan 2012. Company has agreed to purchase a new

plant and is currently sourcing the most appropriate plant but is intended to be in 2012. Company

has ISO 14001 accreditation.