

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Xaarjet Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/45(11)
Discussed with:	Dave, Jerry, Roy	LA Reference:	B22/11
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	26/03/2015
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal		

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		
APRR Risk Rating Category	y Possible Scores Av	
(A) Category 1	10	
(B) Category 2	20	20
(C) Category 3	30	
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Deguirements	-10	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	. •	

	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High Medium Low			
(A) < 100m°	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m ⁻	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m°	5	3	4	
(D) >500m*	0	θ	θ	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	30

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Dossible Scores			Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition					
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action 10 per in		er inciden	t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 50)				
*Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrilinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	canı	not b	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	I				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No		/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	4)	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	()	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	4)	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	4)	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	4)	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	4)	5
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion				Scores	
	Yes	No	N.	/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	4)	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	4)	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	4	€	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	4)	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	()	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	-)	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5		0

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	10
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	30

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	30
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Emission test undertaken for 2013-14 but results not forwarded to HDC within 8 weeks (condition
1). Test results show exceedance in clean room 2. Initial thought that due to reduction in product
they would meet next test but second test showed exceedance still. However, test highlighted
where the exceedance is originating from and they are investigating ways to reduce it.