

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Xaarjet Ltd	PG No	te: <u>PC</u>	6/4	5 (11)
Discussed with:	Mr Ralph Knightley	LA Reference	:e: <u>B</u> 2	2/11	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 07/03/2012			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	•				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		cores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20			20
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PC	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete an	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	meets BAT Requirements)		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source		High	High Medium		Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement a ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	s and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible S	Possible Scores Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10 10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0		0	
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal Range 0 to 70			30		

Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	ident leading to a justified complaint 5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	ch of permit not leading to formal action 10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal Range (1))5	-5	

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	10		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	0		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5		
Total score	25		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	25
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

First inspection after granting of permit. Emission test being done during inspection, initial results indicate that emission limits should be met. There is a very comprehensive solvent usage which predicts fugitive emissions to be around 15% with company targets to reduce this figure (permit limit is 20%). All waste streams recorded as well. Standard Operating Procedures developed for all equipment. Preventative maintenance is undertaken on all equipment (not just environmental equipment) with records kept. Training is undertaken for all staff in relation to their duties and responsibilities with records kept. Comprehensive management structure in place with clear lines of responsibilities. Company has ISO14001 accreditation and actively investigates environmental saving schemes.