

Range 0 to 70

50

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Date:	11/12/2018	
Discussed with:	Mr Glenn Draper	LA Reference:	B19/93	
Name of permitted activity:	Henkel UK Operations Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/28 & PG6/44	

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		А	Score warded
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30		30	
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	40			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	- 10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	Im	Low
(A) < 100m [°]	20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [°]	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	0	θ		θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 f
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0			0

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance	Poss				
(A) Insident loading to justified complete but we bused of exactly in	1 033	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	C	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		ıt		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	ıt		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	ə or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	10	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	θ	0	
Total score		(-5 to 45)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total		(-5 to 25)		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (150)	05	-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	45

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	45
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MEDIUM

Comments

Major services and checks undertaken 2 x per year. Maintenance is all logged on a computerised

management system. Deliveries overseen by staff. Condition 31 - all have alarms but current

project to install interlocks. Emission testing taking place during inspection. Records and

documentation seen. No issues. Full site walk around to take place during 'check' visit due before

end of March. Possibility that site production may increase, depending on company decisions.