

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	05/11/2015
Discussed with:	Mr Glenn Draper	LA Reference:	B19/93
Name of permitted activity:	Darex UK Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/28 & PG6/44

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential		I	
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		30
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarded		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors			
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediun	n Low
(A) < 100m [°]	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m	5	3	1
(D) >500m*	θ	θ	θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal prod Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by a	a factor of 4 fo
Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible S	cores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0 0		0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 t	o 70	50

Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance					
	Possi	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unre linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re				1	
Criterion	Yes	ssible Sco	N/A	Scores Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0 0	0	
(A) All monitoring indentation to the degree required in the permit.(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	 Ф	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	Ð	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	Ð	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5	45	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	45

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	45
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Emission testing being undertaken during inspection. No failures on plant that relates to emissions

to atmosphere. No changes to plant or staff so training up to date and procedures all still the

same. Some changes to the company structure but this does not affect the permit conditions (but

may affect operator details). Some changes to operation/safety features of the site planned e.g.

fire water and silo storage but nothing that will cause a substantial variation to permit. Permit

review due and will commence in 2016.