

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Eaton Tractors Ltd	PG	Note:	PG3/1	6(12)	
Discussed with:	Mr Vince Anderson	LA Refe	rence:	B13/93	3	
Inspector's Name:	Aaron Morley		Date: _	05/03/2	2015	
Operator Performance Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Compliance Assess	sment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possi	ble Score		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition		0	points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		5 pe	r incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action		10 pe	er incident			
D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution		15 pe	er incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition N	lotice	20 per incident				
Total		(Max. 50)			0	
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. t linked to an incident at the process.	hose considered by the inspector to be unrea	asonable c	or which ca	nnot be	clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduce	d Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	Operating	Levels			
	start or increase in level of operation to it at the installation in accordance with the	25 0				
Total (applies only when condition	F has been breached)	(1	(Max 75)		0	
Scoring for Component 2 - Assess	ment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Red	ords				
Criterion		Possible Scores		res	Scores	
Citterion		Yes	No	N/A	A Awarded	
(A) Are emissions monitored as requi Are emissions and emissions monitor	red in the permit? ring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced compliance?	because results over time show consistent	θ	0	0	0	
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance so	hedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered permit?	to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as requi	red in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Has the operator notified the regu	lator promptly of all relocations of all plant?	-5	10	0	0	
Total score		(-5 to 35)		0		

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Possible Scores			Scores	
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	θ	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0	
Total	(-5 to 25) 0		0		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		Range -10 to 110 (135)		0	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	0

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	0
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Some concrete was crushed here by a McCluski crusher operated by Anstey Quarries.
I spoke with Graham from G Webb who organised this. It was a trial.
Eaton Tractors crusher has operated for 4 days since last inspection.