

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	David Smith St Ives Ltd	PG Not		6/02 61/12	
Discussed with:	Simon Wadsworth	LA Reference	ce: B0	9/94	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 19/07/17			
Environmental Impact Ap	opraisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	ograding				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PC	G Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	s BAT Requirements	0 0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	T Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	High Medium Low		Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		1
(D) >500m*		θ	0		θ
combustion, incineration (not crem	ed by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & nation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro ured from the process itself, rather than the sit	ocesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores			Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 t	o 70		40

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	Ð	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	θ	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45) 0				
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores		
Chterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	-	e -10 to 10 (150))5	-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	35

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Following previous emission test some new parts have been installed on the burner which has

resolved the issues. Some changes to layout inside building but no permit variation required.

While a full clean is undertaken weekly there was a lot of dust on the floor which could be an issue.

All procedures, training and maintenance records kept as previous. Company has ISO14001.