

PG Note: N/A

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity: Encocam Ltd

Discussed with:	Roman	LA Reference	e: <u>B</u> 0	9/14	ļ
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Date	Date: 22/01/2019		2019
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	•				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20			20
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading			ı	
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores			Score warded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors	·			
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium Lo		Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		<del>1</del>
(D) >500m*		0	0		Ф
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Sc	ble Scores		Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	<del>10</del>			
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70		25	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	t 0 points			Awaraca	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			1	
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45)		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (150) -5		-5		

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	20

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	20
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Improvements to storage and monitoring HF have been undertaken and were completed in
June/July 2018. New pipework and automated transfer. Alarms on both scrubbers. System
shuts down if there are any issues with the scrubbers. Training matrix seen. Emission monitoring
taking place during visit – both scrubbers being tested, even though one is not connected to HF
storage. Daily check list to incorporate daily check of emissions. No issues noted. Company has
ISO14001. Exceptional housekeeping and control measures in place.