

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Encocam Ltd	PG No	te: N/	A	
Discussed with:	Mr Roman Mucha	LA Referenc	809/14		
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Da	te: 21	/06/2	2016
Environmental Impact Ap	nraical				
Component 1 - Inherent Environi					
APRR Risk Rating Category	·	Possible S	cores	A	Score warded
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20			20
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible S	cores	Α	Score warded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT	Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Pr	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensit	ivity of R	ecep	tors
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		0	0		0
combustion, incineration (not crema	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	cesses.	s and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible S	cores	Α	Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0
Total Score for Environmental Im	npact Appraisal	Range 0 t	o 70		25

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Poss	ible Score	es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	C) points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(N	Max. 55)		0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords				
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	res	Scores	
Chichon	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0	
Total score		(-5 to 45)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Cuitarian	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total		(-5 to 25)		-5	
	Range	-10 to 10	5	-5	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	20

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	20
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Site is undertaking weekly indicative testing at the scrubber but it doesn't appear to be suitable for
the manual extractive testing required every 4 years. There are work procedures which are up to
date and staff are trained on these procedures, Plant is maintained with records kept. Company
has ISO14001.

DRY CLEANERS

Operator Performance Appraisal					
Component 1 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possil	ble Score	es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0	points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	r incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 pe	er incident	t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	er incident	t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 pe	er incident	t		
Total	(M	ax. 50)			
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreal linked to an incident at the process.	sonable (or which d	annot be	clearly	
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Rec	ords				
Cuitanian	Pos	sible Sc	ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are loads weighed & weights recorded for all loads? Does the operator maintain a weekly inventory of solvent usage, product cleaned, and solvent waste sent for recovery or disposal?	0	10	0		
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0		
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0		
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0		
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0		
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	10	0		
Total score		(-5 to 35))		
Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
	Pos	sible Sc	ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are all operating staff aware of where the operating manual for each dry cleaning machine can be found and do they have ready access to it?	0	5	0		
(B) Are nominated trained members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the machines?	0	5	0		
(C) Are all operating staff trained in the operation of each dry cleaning machine and the control and use of dry cleaning solvents?	0	5	0		
(D) Are records kept of training received by operating staff?	0	5	0		
(E) Are suitably trained or experienced staff on site while machines are operating?	0	5	0		
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0		
Total		(-5 to 25))		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	-10 to 11	10		

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	
Total score	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	

Comments			

Mobile Plant

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	
Total	(Max. 50)	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreal linked to an incident at the process.	sonable or which canno	t be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C	Operating Levels	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25	

Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco	ords			
	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0	
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	
(F) Has the operator notified the regulator promptly of all relocations of all plant?	-5	10	0	
Total score		(-5 to 35))	
Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	
Total		(-5 to 25))	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 11 (135)	10	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	
Total score	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	

Comments			

Petrol Stations

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Scores Awarded			
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 50)				

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

Criterion		Possible Scores			
		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0		
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0		
(C) Has a written maintenance programme been provided to the regulator with respect to pollution control equipment?	0	5	0		
(D) Is there a log book at the authorised premises incorporating details of all maintenance, examination and testing, inventory checking, installation and repair work carried out?	0	5	0		
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0		
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	10	0		
Total score		(-5 to 35)			

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion		Possible Scores		
		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	
(B) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the installation trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit?	0	5	0	
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	
(D) Does the operator keep, and make available, a record of the training received by each person whose actions may have an impact on the environment?	0	5	0	
(E) Is there a competent trained person who remains near the tanker during unloading? (Delivery drivers may be trained as the competent person)	0	5	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	
Total	(-5 to 25)			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	-10 to 11	0	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	
Total score	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	

Comments			

Waste Oil Burners

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Scores Awarded			
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 50)				

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

Criterion		Possible Scores			
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0		
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0		
(C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been services regularly in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	0	5	0		
(D) Are there records of servicing for a minimum of two years prior to inspection?	0	5	0		
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0		
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	10	0		
Total score		(-5 to 35)	•		

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion		Possible Scores		
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are clear instructions available at all times on or near the appliance detailing the correct operation and maintenance of the equipment?	0	5	0	
(B) Are nominated members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the appliance?	0	5	0	
(C) Is the list of staff permitted to operate the appliance available?	0	5	0	
(D) Are all nominated SWOB operating staff trained in, and conversant with, its operation? (Staff operating vapourising burners should be particularly conversant with the correct procedure for lighting from cold)	0	5	0	
(E) Are staff nominated to operate the alliance on site while the appliance is in use?	0	5	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	
Total		(-5 to 25)		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	-10 to 11	0	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	
Total score	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	

Comments		

Operator Performance Appraisal Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possil	ble Score		Scores
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition		0 points		Awarded
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	5 per incident		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	•	er incident		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	•	er incident		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	-	er incident		
Total	-	ax. 50)		
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreal linked to an incident at the process.	sonable o	or which c	annot be	clearly
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Rec	ords			
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0	
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	
(D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the regulator on request?	0	5	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	10	0	
Total score		(-5 to 35)		
Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	
(D) Does the operator maintain a record of staff training and instruction and make it available to regulator on request?	0	5	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	
Total		(-5 to 25)		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	-10 to 11	0	

Overall scores		Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsible	ility	
Total score		
OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	
Comments		

Paints Directive compliant:

Cement Batching

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possi	ible Score	es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	C	points		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	er incident		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 p	er inciden	t	
Total	(N	lax. 50)		
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	
(B) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	
(C) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	
(D) Does the operator keep records of inspections, tests and monitoring in relation to the provisions of the permit and make them available to the regulator on request?	0	5	0	
(E) All relevant notifications forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	
Total score	(0 to 35)			
Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	5	0	
Total		(-5 to 30)	1	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -5 to 11: (130)	5	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Component 1: Compliance Assessment	
Component 2: Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	
Component 3: Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	
Total score	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	

Comments		

A2

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Encocam Ltd	SG Not	:e: <u>N//</u>	Δ			
Discussed with:	Mr Roman Mucha	LA Referenc	A Reference: B09)9/14		
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:					
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal						
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	-						
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible So	cores	Δ	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10		1			
(B) Category 2		20					
(C) Category 3		30					
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading	•					
Status of Upgrading		Possible So	cores	Δ	Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5					
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10					
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	s BAT Requirements	0					
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	T Requirements	-10					
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors						
		Sensiti	ivity of R	есер	itors		
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Mediu	m	Low		
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5		
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3		
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		1		
(D) >500m*		0	0		0		
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for		
Component 4 - Other Targets							
		Possible So	cores	A	Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10					
(B) No such air pollution problems		0		<u> </u>			
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to	o 70				

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possi	ible Score	es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 p	er inciden	ıt	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	it	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	it	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 p	er inciden	ıt	
Total	(N	lax. 55)		
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25		
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	/lax 80)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
one in the interior	Yes	s No N/A ^{Awai}	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	
Total score		(-5 to 45))	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	
Total		(-5 to 25))	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 12 (150)	05	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	
Progress with Upgrading	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	
Other Targets	
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	
Total score	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	

Comments			