

10

(-5 to 35)

0

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Mick George Concrete Ltd		PG Note:	PG3/01(12)		
Discussed with:	Garry V	Voodcock			LA Reference:	B08/17
	Claire	Braybrook	&	Aaron	_	
Inspector's Name:	Morley	-			Date:	14/11/2017

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possik	ole Score	s	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0	points		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint *	5 pe	r incident		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 pe	r incident		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	r incident		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 pe	r incident		
Total	(M	ax. 50)		0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreadlinked to an incident at the process.	sonable o	r which ca	nnot be	clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C	perating	Levels		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25		
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco	ords			
Criterion		Possible Scores		
		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	Ф	0	Ф	0
(C) Is an appropriate maintenance schedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	θ	0
permit?				

(F) Has the operator notified the regulator promptly of all relocations of all plant?

Total score

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion		Possible Scores		
		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total		(-5 to 25))	-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		Range -10 to 110 (135)		-5

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-5

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

All equipment and monitoring devices to control emissions are maintained and calibrated on a six
monthly basis. Plant is fairly new at present and not located near any residential properties.
No issues noted.