

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Newlook Dry Cleaners St Ives	PG Note:	PG6/46
Discussed with:	Ms R Kirwin	LA Reference:	B08/07
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Date:	04/05/2019

Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		s	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			10
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			10
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrea linked to an incident at the process.	sonable d	or which c	annot be	clearly
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Rec	ords			
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores
Criterion		No	N/A	Awardeo
(A) Are loads weighed & weights recorded for all loads? Does the operator maintain a weekly inventory of solvent usage, product cleaned, and solvent waste sent for recovery or disposal?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	θ	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	Ð	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	θ	5	θ	5
	-5	10	θ	10
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0			

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are all operating staff aware of where the operating manual for each dry cleaning machine can be found and do they have ready access to it?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Are nominated trained members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the machines?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Are all operating staff trained in the operation of each dry cleaning machine and the control and use of dry cleaning solvents?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Are records kept of training received by operating staff?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Are suitably trained or experienced staff on site while machines are operating?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	0
Total	(-5 to 25) 0		0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 110 25		25	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	10
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	15
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	25

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	25
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	Low

Comments

Dry cleaning unit not operating during visit. No records sent in for several years, however the unit was not operational, so no information to send. Machine now replaced and operating so all information required will be sent in (inventory sheets & maintenance sheets etc – see conditions 3 & 24). Training records on site and seen. Maintenance regularly undertaken by Trevor Austin, maintenance contractor – no details on site. Score of 5 awarded for component 2E as condition 2 not adhered to. Component 2F may be reconsidered if information sent within 2 weeks. Risk assessment discussed and review of Permit to be completed. No solvents stored on site, Perc ordered when required and emptied straight into the machine. Advised scales to be checked.