

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	LaFarge Aggregates Ltd	PG Note:	PG3/01
Discussed with:	Simon Morgan/ Graham Keats	_LA Reference:	B5/93
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	15/06/2009

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10		10	
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarde		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediur	n Low	
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3	4	
(D) >500m*	θ	θ	θ	
[*] All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by a	a factor of 4 fo	
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0 0		0	
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 t	to 70	22	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment			
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		
Total	(Max. 50)	0	

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Criterien	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	θ	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) O		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores	
Chenon	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	Φ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	e -10 to 10)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	17

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Maintenance and emissions logs/procedures on site and recorded. Company has ISO14001 used

accreditation. Good level of house keeping on site with yard area kept clean of debris. All water

on site is recycled.