

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Lafarge Aggregates Ltd	PG Note:			3/01(04)	
Discussed with:	Simon Morgan	LA Referen	ce:		5/93	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Da	ite:		6/6/08	
Environmental Impact /	Annraical					
Component 1 - Inherent Enviro						
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10		10		
(B) Category 2		20				
(C) Category 3		30				
Component 2 - Progress with	Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but	PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete a	and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0		0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds B	AT Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and	Proximity of Receptors					
		Sensitivity of Receptors		otors		
Proximity to Emission Source		High	High Medium		Low	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		0	0		0	
combustion, incineration (not cre	lied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & emation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processitself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		A	Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems contributor	in the local area to which process is a potential	10				
(B) No such air pollution problems		0		0		

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

22

Range 0 to 70

Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition		0 points		wardou	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		5 per incident			
C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action 10		er incident	i		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution		15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords				
Criterion		Possible Scores		Scores	
GINGIIGH	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ 5 0		0		
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion		Possible Scores			
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?		5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?		5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?		5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		

-5

Range -10 to 105

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5	
Total score	17	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Maintenance and emissions logs/procedures being kept and updated. Some equipment failure			
highlighted on log sheets e.g. high level alarms with replacements being programmed and process			
operations modified to ensure no dust emissions leaving the site.			