

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kleeman PL539	PG	Note:	PG3/1	6(12)
Discussed with:	Mick P & Jon W	LA Refe	rence:	B04/9	6
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass		Date:	17/11	/2016
Operator Performance Ap	ppraisal				
Component 1 - Compliance Assess					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possil	ble Score	s	Scores Awarded
A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition			points		
B) Incident leading to a justified com	plaint [*]	5 pe	r incident		
C) Breach of permit not leading to fo	rmal action	10 pe	er incident		
D) Incident leading to formal caution	, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	15 per incident		
E) Incident leading to a Prohibition N	lotice	20 pe	20 per incident		
otal	otal				
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. tinked to an incident at the process.	hose considered by the inspector to be unre	asonable c	or which ca	annot be	clearly
Vhere facility has been on Reduce	d Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	Operating	Levels		
	lure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the tance letter		25		
otal (applies only when condition		(Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 2 - Assess	ment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			
riterion		Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
		Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
 A) Are emissions monitored as requi are emissions and emissions monitor 	red in the permit? ring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
B) Monitoring requirements reduced compliance?	because results over time show consistent	0	0	0	0
C) Is an appropriate maintenance so	hedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0
D) Fully documented and adhered permit?	to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	0	0
E) Full documented records as requi	red in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
F) Has the operator notified the regu	lator promptly of all relocations of all plant?	-5	10	0	-5
otal score			(-5 to 35)		

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Outhering	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 110 (135) -10		-10	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-10

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	-10
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Full records kept at head office with operator having weekly emission sheets.

Maintenance undertaken by internal maintenance plant and all staff trained on use of the plant.

Company has installed a new system that keeps records of all weekly emission sheets, locations,

maintenance and other inspections. Company has ISO14001.