

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Linx Printing Technologies Ltd	PG Note: PG6/44	
'		<del></del>	

Discussed with: Martin Swindells LA Reference: B04/94

Inspector's Name: D Bass & H Nicholson Date: 22/11/2011

## **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible \$	Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10	10		
(B) Category 2	20	20		
(C) Category 3	30	30		
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible \$	Possible Scores		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	<del>10</del>			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	<del>-10</del>	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors		<u>.</u>		
	Sensi	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediur	n Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>-</sup>	<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>	5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>-</sup>	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m	5	3	4	
(D) >500m*	0	0	0	

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

## **Component 4 - Other Targets**

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	0

Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance  (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition  (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint  (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action  (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution  (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice  Total  **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreal linked to an incident at the process.  Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	5 pe 10 pe 15 pe 20 pe (M esonable		t t t cannot	Scores Awarded  0  be clearly
condition or of general/residual BAT condition  (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*  (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action  (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution  (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice  Total  * Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreastlinked to an incident at the process.  Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C  (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	5 pe 10 pe 15 pe 20 pe (M asonable Operation	er incident er inciden er inciden er inciden lax. 50) e or which	t t t cannot	0
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action  (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution  (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice  Total  **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreastlinked to an incident at the process.  Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	10 pe 15 pe 20 pe (M asonable Operation	er inciden er inciden er inciden lax. 50) e or which	t t t cannot	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution  (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice  Total  **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreastlinked to an incident at the process.  Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	15 pe 20 pe (M asonable Operation	er inciden er inciden lax. 50) e or which	t t cannot	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice  Total  **Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreastlinked to an incident at the process.  Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	20 pe (M asonable Operation	er inciden lax. 50) e or which	t cannot	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreadlinked to an incident at the process.  Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	(Masonable	lax. 50) or which	cannot	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreastlinked to an incident at the process.  Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C  (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	asonable Operation	e or which		
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced C  (f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	Operatii	ng Levels		be clearly
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	(N		5	
above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25		
			25	
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		lax 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Reco				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total		(-5 to 25)		-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 10 (130)	)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	27

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Following exceeding of emission limit company reviewed system and made procedural changes which have resulted in passing the emission limit. During the last test a valve was open causing high emissions which have now been bolted shut to prevent happening again. The majority of the machines have manufacturer maintenance contracts and there is a centralised maintenance schedule. All staff is trained with records kept of what they can and can't do and the company has procedures in place (albeit more for quality control/H&S than environment). The company does have ISO14001 accreditation.