

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Linx Printing Technologies Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/44
Discussed with:	Mr James Barnes	LA Reference:	B04/94
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook	Date:	24/04/2018

## **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10		10	
(B) Category 2	<del>20</del>			
(C) Category 3	<del>30</del>			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarder		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	<del>10</del>			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediun	n Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>-</sup>	<del>20</del>	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m	<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>	3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>	5	3	1	
(D) >500m*	θ	θ	θ	
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal prov Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by a	factor of 4 fo	
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 t	to 70	22	

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		t		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	15	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 55)			15	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ing Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 80)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0	
Total score	(-5 to 45) 0		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores		
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	_	e -10 to 10 (150)	05	10	

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	10	
Total score	32	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

Maintenance, emission testing and training all undertaken. Training records seen, emission testing

results received and maintenance records to be sent via e-mail (completed 25/04/2018). There

has been a breach of the VOC emission limit (condition 3 of the Environmental Permit B04/94). This

is likely due to an increase in production rather than a malfunction of abatement plant, and cannot

be rectified by adjusting the process. Therefore new/additional abatement is required, however as

plans are in progress to relocate the operation, Linx consider there is little point in the installation of

new plant at this site due to the timescales & funding required for minimal gain due to the upcoming

relocation.

The score for component 6C has been given as a 0 rather than 10 as the process operation will

be modified.

The company have ISO14001 status.