

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Linx Printing Technologies Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/44
Discussed with:	Mr James Barnes	_LA Reference:	B04/94
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	14/02/2017

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10		10
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possinia Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors			
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Medium	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>	<del>20</del>	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m°	<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>	3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>	5	3	4
(D) >500m*	0	0	0

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

## **Component 4 - Other Targets**

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	22

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 p	er inciden	t	
Total	(Max. 55)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	8	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 80)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re				
Criterion		sible Sco	ores	Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 45)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores Score		Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 10 (150)	)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	17

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Emission test booked for Feb 22/23. Some new plant being introduced to area but no permit
variation required. All other procedures, training requirements and employees are the same.
Company has ISO14001.