

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Linx Printing Technologies Ltd	PG Note:	PG6/44	

Discussed with: Martin Swindells LA Reference: B4/94

Inspector's Name: Dave Bass Date: 26/05/2009

Possible Scores		Score warded	
10			
20 20		20	
30			
Possible Scores		Score warded	
5			
10			
0 0		0	
-10			
Sensitivity of Receptors			
High	Mediu	m	Low
20	12		5
12	10		3
5	3		4
θ	0		0
	10 20 30 Possible S 5 40 0 -40 Sensit High 20 12 5	10 20 30 Possible Scores 5 40 0 -40 Sensitivity of R High Mediu 20 12 11 40 5 3	Possible Scores

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores Scores Awarded		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	er incident	t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50) 0		0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0			
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion				Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	e -10 to 10)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	0		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5		
Total score	27		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

The annual emissions monitoring showed elevated levels of VOC's. This was rectified during the
testing and emissions stayed below the emission limit. Progress on recording maintenance on
machines but work in progress. Procedures for use of machines kept in the risk
assessments/permits to work rather than specific procedures. Training records mainly kept by HR.
Company has ISO14001 accreditation.