

0

Range 0 to 70

0

35

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Clements Engineering	PG Note:	PG2/4(13)
Discussed with:	Adrian Gibbons	LA Reference:	B04/09
Inspector's Name:	Claire Bravbrook	Date:	06/02/2020

Environmental Impact Appraisal

(B) No such air pollution problems

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awardeo
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		30
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarde		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors			
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	m Lov
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3	4
(D) >500m*	θ	θ	θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal prov Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by a	a factor of 4
Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10		

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 55)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unre linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 80)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re				
Criterion		sible Sco		Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	Ð	10	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 45) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Gitterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5
Total		(-5 to 25)		-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 10 (150)	5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	30

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	30
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

No planned preventative maintenance completed, just as and when required.

Weekly visual checks log seen which has a faults and corrective action section.

Extraction filter changes now logged.

Training records updated so the company can now demonstrate Environmental side done.

Site inspection – quite clean (due to recent downtime).