

0

Range 0 to 70

0

35

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Clements Engineering	PG Not	te: <u>PC</u>	32/4(	13)	
Discussed with:	Nicola Shand	_A Reference: B04/09				
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 15/05/2015				
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ						
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores Score Awarded				
(A) Category 1		10				
(B) Category 2		<del>20</del>				
(C) Category 3		30			30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading			I.		
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores			Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0		0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensiti	ivity of R	Recep	tors	
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium Lov		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		θ	0		θ	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	cesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		Score warded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10			

(B) No such air pollution problems

**Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment						
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	rmit 0 points					
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident					
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident					
Total	(Max. 50)			(	0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.					early	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3			
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25					
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached) (Max 75)						
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records						
Criterion	Possible Scores				Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A	AV	varueu	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0		0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0		0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0		0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0		0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	θ 0		
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0		0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility						
Criterion	Possible Scores				Scores	
Officerion	Yes	No	N/A	Av	varded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0		0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0		0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0		0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0		0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0		0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	<del>-5</del>	0	0		0	
Total	(-5 to 25)			0		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal  Range -10 to 10 (130)		)5	0			
		(100)				

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	35

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Only one new starter in the foundry since last visit who has been inducted into the working
practices in the foundry. Sand is regularly cleared up from the floor, usually on a weekly basis but
sooner in event of spillages or excessive build up. Some escape of dust from the process building
but not significant. Main risk of sand blow out from filling silo with sand but it is linked to a cyclone.
Site records/procedures still not fully up to date. No environmental management system in place.