

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity: CSG Service Centre PG Note: PG1/01

Discussed with: Mr Alan Johnson LA Reference: B03/10

Inspector's Name: Dave Bass Date: 31/01/2013

Operator Performance Appraisal

| Component 1 - Compliance Assessment | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----|-----|----------------|
| Scale of Non-Compliance | Possible Scores | | | Scores Awarded |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition | 0 points | | | |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint* | 5 per incident | | | |
| (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action | 10 per incident | | | |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution | 15 per incident | | | |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice | 20 per incident | | | |
| Total | (Max. 50) | | | 0 |
| * Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process. | | | | |
| Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records | | | | |
| Criterion | Possible Scores | | | Scores Awarded |
| | Yes | No | N/A | |
| (A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit? | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been serviced regularly in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (D) Are there records of servicing for a minimum of two years prior to inspection? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required? | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Total score | (-5 to 35) | | | 0 |

| Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----|-----|----------------|
| Criterion | Possible Scores | | | Scores Awarded |
| | Yes | No | N/A | |
| (A) Are clear instructions available at all times on or near the appliance detailing the correct operation and maintenance of the equipment? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (B) Are nominated members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the appliance? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (C) Is the list of staff permitted to operate the appliance available? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (D) Are all nominated SWOB operating staff trained in, and conversant with, its operation? (Staff operating vapourising burners should be particularly conversant with the correct procedure for lighting from cold) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (E) Are staff nominated to operate the alliance on site while the appliance is in use? | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place? | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | (-5 to 25) | | | 0 |
| Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal | Range -10 to 110 | | | 0 |

| Overall scores | Score given |
|---|-------------|
| <i>Operator Performance Appraisal</i> | |
| Compliance Assessment | 0 |
| Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records | 0 |
| Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility | 0 |
| Total score | 0 |

| | | |
|--|------------------|-----|
| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS | Range -10 to 110 | 0 |
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY * high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30 | LOW, MED, HIGH | LOW |

Comments

Burner not currently on as warmer weather and it requires cleaning. No recent records of use

recorded. No complaints received when in use.