Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Burton Car Disposal	PG Note:	PG1/01
Discussed with:	Andy	LA Reference:	B02/99
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	10/12/2010
Operator Performance Ap	praisal		
Component 1 - Compliance Asses	sment		
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores Sco Awar	
(A) Incident leading to justified cocondition or of general/residual BAT	mplaint but no breach of specific permit condition	0 points	
(B) Incident leading to a justified com	nplaint [*]	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to fo	ormal action	10 per incident	it
) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution		15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition I	Notice	20 per incident	nt
Total		(Max. 50)	0
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. linked to an incident at the process.	those considered by the inspector to be unre	asonable or which c	cannot be clearly
0			

Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

Criterion		Possible Scores		Scores
		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	0	0	0	0
(C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been services regularly in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are there records of servicing for a minimum of two years prior to inspection?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	-5	10	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 35)		0	

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are clear instructions available at all times on or near the appliance detailing the correct operation and maintenance of the equipment?	0	5	0	0
(B) Are nominated members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the appliance?	0	5	0	0
(C) Is the list of staff permitted to operate the appliance available?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are all nominated SWOB operating staff trained in, and conversant with, its operation? (Staff operating vapourising burners should be particularly conversant with the correct procedure for lighting from cold)	0	5	0	0
(E) Are staff nominated to operate the alliance on site while the appliance is in use?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25) 0			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 110 0		0	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	0

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110	0
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Daily record kept of times used and any maintenance or issues.