

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity	/: Hanson Aggregates	PG No	PG Note: PG3/15	
Discussed with:	Mr Alex Smiles	LA Reference	ce: <u>B2/</u>	97
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Da	Date: _25/06/2009	
Environmental Impact A	Appraisal			
Component 1 - Inherent Enviro	• •			
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible S	Possible Scores Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10		
(B) Category 2		20	20 20	
(C) Category 3		30	30	
Component 2 - Progress with	Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores Score Awarde		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but	PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete	and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and me	ets BAT Requirements	0	0 0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds E	AT Requirements	-10	-10	
Component 3 - Sensitivity and	Proximity of Receptors			
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors	
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium I	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3	1
(D) >500m*		θ	θ	θ

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion		Possible Scores		Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	e -10 to 10)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	-
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	27

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	27
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Emissions monitoring have shown that the particulate matter are very close to exceeding but site is
planning on replacing bag filters. Site maintenance and monitoring records are kept and are
individually set up for different roles. Area managers and directors also make periodic checks of
the process. Some improvements to storage bays of dusty materials. Company has ISO14001
which is actively updated.