

0

Range 0 to 70

0

20

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Marshalls Mono Ltd	PG Note:	PG3/01(12)	
Discussed with:	Michelle Pitkin & Dave Harris	_LA Reference:	B02/93	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	12/06/2014	

Environmental Impact Appraisal

(B) No such air pollution problems

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores			Score warded
(A) Category 1	10		10	
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Dosciblo Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m ⁻	20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m	12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	æ		1
(D) >500m*	θ	θ		θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a faci	tor of 4 f
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10			

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)		0	
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	1			
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	10
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 10		10	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130))5	5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	10
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	10
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	25

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	25
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Condition 2 (indicative monitoring on arrestment plant in heritage building) not currently being met.

They have sourced a company to supply the equipment and should be resolved shortly. All other

monitoring undertaken and recorded. Procedures are in place for all aspects of the site and

reviewed every 2 years or sooner if changes have been implemented. There has been a lot of

internal job changes but company still have a full time trainer so training records kept up to date.

Operator still has ISO14001 accreditation.