

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Marshalls Mono Ltd	PG Note:	PG3/01	
Discussed with:	Michelle Pitkin/ Dave Harris	_LA Reference:	B02/93	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass/ Katherine Domingo	Date:	18/07/2013	

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10	10		10	
(B) Category 2	20				
(C) Category 3	30				
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading	Possible S	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors					
	Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	m	Low	
(A) < 100m	20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m ⁻	12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m ⁻	5	3		1	
(D) >500m*	θ	0		0	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	20

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possi	ble Score	es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		ıt	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	ıt	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot l	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25		
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	/lax 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			_
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	- \$	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	Ф	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30))	0
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Crittarian	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total		(-5 to 25))	-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		-10 to 10 (130))5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	10
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	15

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	15
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Regular monitoring of dust emissions undertaken by staff. Deliveries are stopped and the tanker removed from site when issues arise. Some dust being emitted from top of conveyor at AG1 despite conveyor not being on. Crusher not currently under operation due to dust issues. No excessive dust emissions from yard areas due to regular sweeping. The dust arrestment equipment serving the Heritage building has a possible flow above 100m³/min but below 300m³/min (to be confirmed). This will potentially bring the plant into the new model environmental permit as it Releases emissions to atmosphere. Operator still has ISO14001.