

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Sundown Bedding Products				6/02(12) & 1/12(12)	
Discussed with:		LA Reference: B02/06		j		
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date	Date: 25/04/2016			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environr	nental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Α	Score warded	
(A) Category 1 10						
(B) Category 2		20		20		
(C) Category 3		30				
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score warded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0		0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT	Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Pr	oximity of Receptors					
		Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source		High Medium L		Low		
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		0	Đ		0	
combustion, incineration (not crema	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement of ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems		0	0		0	
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal Range 0 to 70		70		32		

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possi	ible Score	es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	o a justified complaint 5 per incident		:		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	ich of permit not leading to formal action 10 per incident		t		
D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution 15 per incident		t			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)				
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	8		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro					
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded		
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	5	
Total score	(-5 to 30)		5		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0	
Total	(-5 to 25))	0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) 5		5		

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	37

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	37
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

2015-16 Emission test undertaken 4 th February 2016. Date not notified nor results submitted in
accordance with conditions 20 and 21.