

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Sundown Bedding Products	PG Note	PG6/02(12) & PG1/12(12)		
Discussed with:		LA Reference	.A Reference: B02/06		
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date	Date: 19/04/2016		
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environr	nental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1 40					
(B) Category 2		20 20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0 0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT	Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Pr	oximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium Low		
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	12	<b>12</b> 5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	10 3		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		0	0	θ θ	
combustion, incineration (not crema	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal propertion the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	and by	a fact	or of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores Score Award		Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0
Total Sacra for Environmental Im	wast Apprelact	Dangs 0 to 70		22	

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition 0 points		) points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*		5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action		10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution		15 per incident			
E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice 20 per incident		t			
otal (Max. 50)					
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro					
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	10	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	5	
Total score	(-5 to 30) 1		15		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
		Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	<del>-5</del>	θ	0	0	
Total	(-5 to 25) 0		0		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130)	)5	15	

Overall scores	Score given	
Environmental Impact Appraisal		
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20	
Progress with Upgrading	0	
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12	
Other Targets	0	
Operator Performance Appraisal		
Compliance Assessment	0	
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	15	
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0	
Total score	37	

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	47
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	MEDIUM

## **Comments**

Last emission test undertaken September 2014 so no test undertaken in 2015-16. Therefore,			
breaching conditions 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 20 and 21 depending on what substances are burnt.			