

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

PG6/2, PG1/12 e: <u>& PG6/27</u>

LA Reference: B02/06

Name of permitted activity: Sundown Products Ltd PG Note: & PG6/27

Marc Duncan

nspector's Name:	Dave Bass	_ Da	te: <u>23/</u>	07/2013
Environmental Impact	: Appraisal			
	rironmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible S	cores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1		10		
(B) Category 2		20 2		20
(C) Category 3		30		
Component 2 - Progress wit	th Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete b	ut PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete	te and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and r	neets BAT Requirements	0 0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds	s BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity a	nd Proximity of Receptors			
		Sensi	Sensitivity of Receptors	
Proximity to Emission Sour	се	High	Mediu	m Lov
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>	3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3	4
(D) >500m*		0	0 0	

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

## **Component 4 - Other Targets**

Discussed with:

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		it	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		it	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) <b>0</b>		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores	
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25) <b>0</b>		0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130)		0	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Plant not operating at time of inspection. Very little material on floor which can escape off site as
dust. Waste wood stored in building until demand when it is shredded to be burnt. Maintenance
undertaken on plant with procedures and training records kept on site. Latest emission test
showed emissions within limits.