

32

Range 0 to 70

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity: Sundown Products Ltd	PG No		PG6/	27	
iscussed with: LA Reference: B02/06					
Inspector's Name: Dave Bass	Date: 27/09/2012				
Environmental Impact Appraisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores Score Awarded				
(A) Category 1	10	10			
(B) Category 2	20		20		
(C) Category 3	30	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors					
	Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	ım	Low	
(A) < 100m [*]	20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m ⁻	12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m ²	5	3		4	
(D) >500m*	0	0		0	
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the si	cesses.	s and by	a faci	tor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets					
	Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10				
(B) No such air pollution problems	0		0		

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			5
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)			5
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrulinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Critorian	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	θ	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25)		0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) 0		0	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	5
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	37

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	37
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Complaint received of excessive smoke. Investigation by operator shows that caused by Lucerne
dust (which has a higher ash content went burnt) being used in the dryer. Operator won't burn
Lucerne dust again.