

0

Range 0 to 70

0

32

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Sundown Products Ltd	PG No	te: <u>PC</u>	<del>34/2</del>	
Discussed with:	Marc Duncan	LA Referenc	e: <u>B</u> (	2/06	<b>i</b>
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 06/08/2009			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	<del>10</del>			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	s BAT Requirements	0		0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	<del>-10</del>			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High Medium		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		0
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal prored from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible S	Possible Scores		Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	<del>10</del>			

(B) No such air pollution problems

**Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	n-Compliance Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		it	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		it	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		it	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25)		0	
tal Score for Operator Performance Appraisal  Range -10 to 105 (130)		05	0	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	32

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	32
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Site has recently installed a new maintenance computer programme to keep records of
maintenance undertaken and remind engineering staff when maintenance is due. All staff report
any defects spotted on a daily basis. Procedures have been produced and will be updated once
new fire alarm system is completed. Some improvements are planned but involve infrastructure
works so no variation application required. Training records for staff are kept and are up to date.
Site has an induction pack for new starters/contractors/agency staff.