

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kingspan Timber Solutions Limited	PG Note:	PG6/02(12)
Discussed with:	Ray Ward, Andrew Knibbs & James Walker	LA Reference:	B02/01
Inspector's Name:	Claire Braybrook & Hugh Smalley	Date:	19/09/2019

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 1 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	10 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident			
Total	(Max. 55) 0		0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrel linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	ə or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(Max 80)		0	
Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterian	Possible Scores		ores	Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	10	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	10	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 45) 0		0	

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		ores	Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	Ð	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	-	e -10 to 10 (150)	5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-5

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

New cutting machine has been installed.

The extraction system is sealed – no emissions. Single units have bag filters.

Maintenance, daily checks & daily emission check sheets seen. James/Ray will send through a new location plan for LEV system (Received via e-mail 19/09/2019). Filters are regularly serviced and checked – operator checked, manager checked & maintenance checked, any issues logged and dealt with.

06/03/2019 – LEV service completed 24/11/2018 – Service report for dust control service scheme for NF filter system 'Nerderman'.

Training matrix, toolbox talks and training information all seen.

No issues noted during site inspection. Company is ISO 14001 accredited.