

15 per incident

20 per incident

(Max. 55)

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kingspan Timber Solutions Limited	PG Note:	PG6/02(12)		
Discussed with:	Martin Waker	LA Reference:	B02	/01	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 10/02		2/2016	
Operator Performance Ap					
Component 1 - Compliance Asse	essment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Score	es	Scores Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified c condition or of general/residual BA	omplaint but no breach of specific permi T condition	rmit 0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified co	omplaint [*]	10 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to	formal action	10 per incider	nt		

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced Operating Levels			
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25		
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(Max 80)		

Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution

(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice

Total

Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	9	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 45)		0	

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (150)		5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-5

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	-5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Operator has a combination of fixed extraction to storage unit and mobile to individual bags. No evidence of excess dust at site perimeter or inside process buildings. Some dust around extraction unit but unlikely to escape off site. Maintenance records are kept and updated and some changes to reporting has been undertaken. Procedures and training undertaken and employees undergo refresher training as required. Company has ISO14001. The site has several small waste wood. burners which only use own generated wood waste. Daily checks are also undertaken on these