

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Kingspan Timber Solutions Limited	PG Not	te: <u>PC</u>	<u> 66/02</u>		
Discussed with:	Claire and Martin	LA Referenc	:e: <u>B</u>	)2/01		
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 04/12/2014				
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores Scor Award		core varded		
(A) Category 1		10		10		
(B) Category 2		<del>20</del>				
(C) Category 3		<del>30</del>	<del>30</del>			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading					
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and	d PG Note deadline has passed	<del>10</del>				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	BAT Requirements	0			0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	<del>-10</del>				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors		ors	
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium Low		Low	
(A) < 100m <sup>*</sup>		<del>20</del>	<del>12</del>		5	
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>		12	<del>10</del>		3	
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>*</sup>		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	s and by	a facto	or of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		-	core varded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	<del>10</del>				
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0	
Total Score for Environmental In	npact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70 22		22		

## Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
<sup>*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	ə or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re					
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	Ð	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) <b>0</b>		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Critorian	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) -5				

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	17

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

Despite having lots of smaller units as well as the main extractor plant suffient daily checks and

maintenance are undertaken and recorded. Records of checks, maintenance and manuals are

now being kept electronically and includes older files (when time allows). Company has ISO14001

certification. No significant amounts of dust could be seen around any of the plant and no

emissions from small wood burners were evident.