

Range 0 to 70

22

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kingspan Timber Solutions Limited	PG Not	te: PG	6/02	2
Discussed with:	Bob Bown & Claire Roberts	LA Referenc	e: <u>B0</u>	2/01	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 15/10/2013			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1	A) Category 1 10		10		10
(B) Category 2		20			
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores			Score warded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached		5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets	Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BA	Γ Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source		High Mediu		ım	Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		പ
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		Ð
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the sit	cesses.	s and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0 (0	

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	[
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	- 5	θ	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	Ð	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	Ð	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Orthonian	Possible Scores		ores	Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (130) -5				
		(130)			

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	17

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Some changes to some extractor pipework (moved from underground to aboveground) but no

permitting issues as a result. Reporting system being looked at and possible changes to company

procedures but again no permitting issues. Small burners now fully exempt via the EA. A small

amount of dust around wood dust storage unit (it was wet so not escaping off site). No issues with

dust from working equipment and obviously cleaned regularly. Regular maintenance checks with

both proactive and reactive repair undertaken. Company has ISO14001.