

Range 0 to 70

22

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kingspan Potton Limited	PG Note:	PG6/02
Discussed with:	Bob Bown/ Les Price	LA Reference:	B02/01
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	18/10/2012

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Category 1			10
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarded		Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors			
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	m Low
(A) < 100m [°]	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m [°]	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [°]	5	3	4
(D) >500m*	θ	θ	θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by	a factor of 4 fo
Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible Scores Score Awarded		Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0		0

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		:	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
[*] Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot k	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ing Levels	5	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Critorian	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	Ð	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	θ	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
	Range	e -10 to 10)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	12
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	17

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	17
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Due to possible/imminent contract the site layout/extractor systems might change, an updated

layout and plant list will be supplied. Regular checks undertaken on plant and any issues noted

and action taken to resolve them as well as planned maintenance. No dust could be seen to be

escaping from any individual or group extraction. Some problems with underground pipework so

planning to change it to overground extraction. Company has promised site some funds to replace

older/inefficient extractor units. Company has ISO14001.