

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kingspan Potton Limited	PG Note:	PG6/02
Discussed with:	Bob Bown	_LA Reference:	B02/01
nspector's Name:	Dave Bass/ J Brettell	_ Date:	19/11/2010

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		_	core arded
(A) Category 1	10			10
(B) Category 2	20			
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		core arded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0 -10			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors		ors	
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	n	Low
(A) < 100m ⁻	20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]	12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	θ	0		0
*				

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 - Other Targets

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	30

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			Awarada
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t	
Total	(Max. 50)		0	
*Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	3	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(1	Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords			<u> </u>
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Possible Scores		Scores	
Citterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25) -5		-5	
	Pange	-10 to 10)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	25

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	25
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Site has updated its dust equipment list and map so permit requires updating. Daily checks on
dust extraction equipment undertaken & recorded plus each machine has a written maintenance
record. Procedures in place and staff have training records of understanding of procedures. Site
has ISO14001 accreditation. Company currently working to electronic storage of records.