

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

Name of permitted activity:	Potton Ltd	PG Note:	6/2(04)
Discussed with:	B Bown & L Price	LA Reference:	B02/01
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	14/10/08

## Environmental Impact Appraisal

**Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		А	Score warded
(A) Category 1	10		10	
(B) Category 2	<del>20</del>			
(C) Category 3	30			
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	<del>10</del>			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediu	ım	Low
(A) < 100m <sup>°</sup>	20	<del>12</del>		5
(B) 100 - 250m <sup>*</sup>	<del>12</del>	<del>10</del>		3
(C) 250 - 500m <sup>°</sup>	5	3		4
(D) >500m*	Φ	θ		Ф
<sup>*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	esses.	s and by	a fac	tor of 4 fc
Component 4 - Other Targets				
	Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0 0		0	

30

Range 0 to 70

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment			
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		
Total	(Max. 50)	0	

\* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	θ	0
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	A Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	0
Total	(-5 to 25)		0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105		0	

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	10
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	30

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	30
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## Comments

Site regularly checks and records emissions plus it has written maintenance and comments for all

dust extraction systems, the site also uses personal monitors on staff. Procedures (including when

produced) in place for maintenance and monitoring. Training given to staff and recorded. Site

nearly has ISO 14001 accreditation.