

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

| Name of permitted activity: | K Cooper Motors | PG Note: | PG1/01 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| Discussed with: | | LA Reference: | B01/98 |
| Inspector's Name: | Dave Bass | Date: | 16/01/2014 |

Operator Performance Appraisal

| Component 1 - Compliance Assessment | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|----------|-------------------|
| Scale of Non-Compliance | Possible Scores | | s | Scores Awarded |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition | 0 points | | | |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint | 5 per incident | | | |
| (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action | 10 per incident | | t | |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution | 15 per incident | | t | |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice | 20 per incident | | t | |
| Total | (Max. 50) | | | 0 |
| * Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreal linked to an incident at the process. | isonable d | or which c | annot be | clearly |
| Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Rec | ords | | | |
| | Possible Scores | | ores | Scores Awarded |
| iterion | | No | N/A | |
| (A) Are emissions monitored as required in the permit? Are emissions and emissions monitoring recorded as required in the permit? | 0 | 10 | Ð | 0 |
| | | | • | |
| (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? | θ | θ | 0 | 0 |
| compliance? (C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been | θ θ | Ф 5 | 0 | 0 |
| compliance?(C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been services regularly in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?(D) Are there records of servicing for a minimum of two years prior to | - | | | |
| compliance?(C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been services regularly in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?(D) Are there records of servicing for a minimum of two years prior to | <u></u> | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| compliance?(C) Does the operator have records showing that each appliance has been services regularly in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?(D) Are there records of servicing for a minimum of two years prior to inspection? | 0 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

| Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|------|---------|
| Criterien | Possible Scores | | ores | Scores |
| Criterion | Yes | No | N/A | Awarded |
| (A) Are clear instructions available at all times on or near the appliance detailing the correct operation and maintenance of the equipment? | Ð | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (B) Are nominated members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the appliance? | 0 | 5 | θ | 0 |
| (C) Is the list of staff permitted to operate the appliance available? | θ | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| (D) Are all nominated SWOB operating staff trained in, and conversant with, its operation? (Staff operating vapourising burners should be particularly conversant with the correct procedure for lighting from cold) | 0 | 5 | θ | 0 |
| (E) Are staff nominated to operate the alliance on site while the appliance is in use? | 0 | 5 | θ | 0 |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place? | -5 | θ | 0 | 0 |
| Total | (-5 to 25) 0 | | 0 | |
| Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal | Range | e -10 to 11 | 0 | 0 |

| Overall scores | Score given |
|---|-------------|
| Operator Performance Appraisal | |
| Compliance Assessment | 0 |
| Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records | 0 |
| Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility | 0 |
| Total score | 0 |

| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS | Range -10 to 110 | 0 |
|---|------------------|-----|
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY | | |
| * high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30 | LOW, MED, HIGH | LOW |

Comments

Burner being used during inspection and no emissions could be seen from stack, no formal record

of check of emissions but operators constantly working outside in yard and are aware of emissions

and problems they may cause.