

0

Range 0 to 70

0 25

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity	y: Encocam Ltd	PG Note	e: <u>N/</u>	Α	
Discussed with:	Mrs Judit Betancor	LA Reference	e: <u>B</u> 0	9/14	•
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 17/09/2015		2015	
Environmental Impact A	Annraisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Enviro	• •				
APRR Risk Rating Category	APRR Risk Rating Category Possible Scores		ores	Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20		20	
(C) Category 3		30			
Component 2 - Progress with	Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores			Score warded
(A) Upgrading not complete but	PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds B	SAT Requirements	-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and	Proximity of Receptors				
		Sensitivity of Receptors		tors	
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium		Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		0	θ		0
combustion, incineration (not cre	lied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & emation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro sured from the process itself, rather than the si	cesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Sc			Score warded
(A) Other air pollution problen potential contributor	ns in the local area to which process is a	10			

(B) No such air pollution problems

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment						
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition		0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		5 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action		10 per incident				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution		15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice		20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 50)					
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	canr	ot b	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5			
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)				
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	I				Γ	
Criterion		sible Sco			Scores Awarded	
	Yes	No	N/			
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	•) 	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	()	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	()	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	()	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5)	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	0 5 θ)	0	
Total score	(-5 to 30)			0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility						
Criterion	Possible Scores				Scores	
Chlerion	Yes	No	N/	/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	()	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	()	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	•)	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	-)	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	-)	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	•)	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25)			-5		
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	core for Operator Performance Appraisal Range -10 to 105 (130)			-5		

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	0		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5		
Total score	20		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	20
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Slight change to how the HF is stored but no permit variation required. Company undertakes		
regular testing of HF using personal monitors as well as regular visual checks. Training/procedures		
developed and issued to relevant employees. Plant maintained by internal engineer. Company		
has ISO14001.		