

0

Range 0 to 70

0

35

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Clements Engineering	PG Note	. P(32/4((13)	
-					•	
Discussed with:	Adrian Gibbon	LA Reference	nce: <u>B04/09</u>)	
Inspector's Name:	Aaron Morley	Date: 10/03/2021				
Environmental Impact App	nraisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ						
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Sc	Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1		10				
(B) Category 2		20				
(C) Category 3		30			30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	ograding	<u> </u>				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores		Score Awarded			
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached		5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0	0			
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensitiv	ity of F	Recep	otors	
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Mediu	ım	Low	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		0	θ		0	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	and by	a fac	tor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Sc	Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in t	the local area to which process is a potential	40				

(B) No such air pollution problems

Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores			Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident				
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident				
Total	(Max. 55)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cann	ot be	e clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)	(Max 80)				
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords				
Criterion	Pos	sible Sco	ores		Scores
	Yes	No	N/	Α	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	ı	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0)	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0		0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	10	θ	,	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0		0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	ļ	0
Total score	(-5 to 45)			0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Cuitanian	Possible Scores				Scores
Criterion	Yes	No	N/	Α	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	ļ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0		0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0		0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	,	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	١	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	ı	-5
Total	(-5 to 25)			-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 (150) -5		-5		

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	0		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5		
Total score	30		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	30
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Remote inspection due to Covid restrictions.

No changes from last year accept a new Manager (who previously left but came back to Clements).

No abnormal emissions or adverse results.

There was a concern about a loss of sand from the silo but this was unfounded. During this time, the silo top was sealed but this was found to be unnecessary.

Confirmation of extraction fans checked/cleaned 3x per year. Flues and ductwork cleaned.

Silo alarm regularly checked and calibrated (was being done during our meeting).

Solvent inventory maintained. Solvent is contained within the binder in IBCs. (Don't use much.)

One new employee joined a month ago and is undergoing training, including PPC.