

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Clements Engineering	PG Not	te: PC	32/0 /	4
Discussed with:	lain Harley	LA Referenc	e: <u>B</u>)4/09	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 06/09/2011			
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal				
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded	
(A) Category 1		10			
(B) Category 2		20			
(C) Category 3		30		30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Score		ores Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete an	d PG Note deadline has passed	10			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0			0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		-10			
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors				
		Sensit	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium		Low
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4
(D) >500m*		θ	θ		θ
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement a ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pr red from the process itself, rather than the s	ocesses.	s and by	a fao	ctor of 4 for
Component 4 - Other Targets					
		Possible Scores		Score warded	
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems		0			0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal		Range 0 te	Range 0 to 70		35

Operator Performance Appraisal

Scale of Non-Compliance					
	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 pe	er incident			
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		t		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		t		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		t		
Total	(Max. 50)			0	
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot k	e clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	5		
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25				
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)			
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re					
Criterion	Possible Scores Yes No N/A		Scores Awarded		
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	+	+ 0	0	0	
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0	
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	Ð	0	
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	Ð	0	
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	Ð	0	
Total score			0		
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	I				
	Possible Scores			Scores	
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0	
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	θ	0	0	
Total	(-5 to 25) 0				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105 0 (130)				

Overall scores	Score given		
Environmental Impact Appraisal			
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30		
Progress with Upgrading	0		
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5		
Other Targets	0		
Operator Performance Appraisal			
Compliance Assessment	0		
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0		
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0		
Total score	0		

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Site kept clean and no dust could be seen escaping off site. Daily checks are carried out and any

issues raised are recorded. Not all issues have details of completed action. Staff are aware of

their duties but evidence of formal procedures lacking. Staff are trained on their duties but little

evidence available for training for responsibilities under the permit. Preventative maintenance of

machines is undertaken but needs to be documented.