Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Clements Engineering	PG Note	e: <u>2/0</u>)4		
Discussed with:	Mr Paul Williams	LA Reference	e: <u>B0</u>	4/09)	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 22/07/2010				
Environmental Impact Ap	praisal					
Component 1 - Inherent Environ	mental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1		10				
(B) Category 2		20				
(C) Category 3	(C) Category 3		30		30	
Component 2 - Progress with Up	grading	·				
Status of Upgrading		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG	Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed		10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements		0			0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements		-10				
Component 3 - Sensitivity and P	roximity of Receptors					
		Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source		High	Medium Lo		Low	
(A) < 100m [*]		20	12		5	
(B) 100 - 250m [*]		12	10		3	
(C) 250 - 500m [*]		5	3		4	
(D) >500m*		0	0		0	
combustion, incineration (not crem	d by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & ation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal pro red from the process itself, rather than the si	ocesses.	and by	a fac	ctor of 4 for	
Component 4 - Other Targets						
		Possible Scores		Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems potential contributor	in the local area to which process is a	10				
(B) No such air pollution problems		0		0		
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal		Range 0 to	35			

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores		es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			Awaraca
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		it	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		it	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		it	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrelinked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Levels	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter	25			
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	ecords			1
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30)		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				<u>.</u>
Criterion	Possible Scores			Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total	(-5 to 25)		0	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal Range -10 to 105 (130)		0		

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	35

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

A couple of spillages of chemicals and sand occurred over previous year. Incidents logged and actioned including lessons learned and procedures changed. Some of the paperwork previously submitted has been changed/discontinued but the relevant information is still being kept. All sand now sent for recovery (breeze blocks) rather than landfill. Still no formal assessments for environmental management system but reducing environmental footprints are being assessed.

Bags used in cyclones kept splitting so now use wheel barrow to move any excess sand from the system. While there is some sand around base of cyclones it is kept under control and is not escaping off site.