

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Clements Engineering	PG Note:	2/04
Discussed with:	Paul Williams & Mike Kerrigan	LA Reference:	B04/09
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date:	25/06/2009

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores		Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20		
(C) Category 3	30		30
Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0 0		0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10		
Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors			
	Sensitivity of Receptors		
Proximity to Emission Source	High	Mediur	n Low
(A) < 100m ⁻	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m [°]	5	3	4
(D) >500m*	θ	θ	θ
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal proc Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site	cesses.	s and by a	a factor of 4 fo
Component 4 - Other Targets			
	Possible S	cores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0 0		0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 t	o 70	35

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment			
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Scores Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 per incident		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		
Total	(Max. 50)	0	

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
Oritorian	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	10	θ	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	θ	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	θ	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	θ	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	θ	5	0	0
Total score	(-5 to 30) 0		0	
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores		Scores	
Criterion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	5	θ	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	θ	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	θ	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	θ	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	θ	0
Total	(-5 to 25) 0			
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range	e -10 to 10)5	0

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	30
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	5
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	0
Total score	35

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROECSS	Range -10 to 175	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

All procedures, monitoring and maintenance procedures and schedules are being developed. The

main components are in place and just requires tweaking as and when it becomes required. Some

small dust deposits on structural struts but not escaping off site. Witnessed a delivery of sand into

a silo and no dust was escaping. Company looks at environmental savings but no formal

assessment.