

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Bardon Contracting	PG	Note:	3/15a	& 3/16	
Discussed with:	Jamie Thornton	LA Refe	rence:	B17/08	3	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass		Date:	29/11/	2010	
Operator Performance Ap	ppraisal	-	•			
Component 1 - Compliance Assess						
Scale of Non-Compliance		Possi	ble Score	s	Scores Awarded	
A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition			points			
B) Incident leading to a justified com	plaint [*]	5 pe	r incident			
C) Breach of permit not leading to fo	rmal action	10 pe	er incident	:	10	
D) Incident leading to formal caution	, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 pe	15 per incident			
E) Incident leading to a Prohibition N	lotice	20 pe	20 per incident			
otal		(M	(Max. 50)		10	
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. tinked to an incident at the process.	hose considered by the inspector to be unre	easonable o	r which ca	annot be	clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduce	d Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	Operating	Levels			
	start or increase in level of operation to it at the installation in accordance with the		25			
otal (applies only when condition	F has been breached)	(Max 75)				
Scoring for Component 2 - Assess	ment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Re	cords				
riterion		Pos	sible Sco	ores	Scores	
The first of the f		Yes	No	N/A	Awarded	
 A) Are emissions monitored as requi are emissions and emissions monitor 	red in the permit? ring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
B) Monitoring requirements reduced compliance?	because results over time show consistent	θ	θ	0	0	
C) Is an appropriate maintenance so	hedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0	
D) Fully documented and adhered permit?	I to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	0	0	
E) Full documented records as requi	red in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
F) Has the operator notified the regu	lator promptly of all relocations of all plant?	-5	10	0	0	
otal score			(-5 to 35)			
otal score			(-5 to 35) 0			

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility					
Criterian	Possible Scores			Scores	
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded	
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0	
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0	
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	θ	0	
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0	
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0	
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5	
Total	(-5 to 25) -5				
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		Range -10 to 110 (135)		5	

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	10
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	5

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	5
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Company have purchased a new mobile plant and they are deciding to keep both or just the new plant. Emission test only undertaken on new plant. Original plant has not had an emission test since permit issued. Basic maintenance undertaken by operatives with major maintenance undertaken by plant manufacturer. Records kept on with plant for approx 1 month then transferred to Steel Close. Company has ISO14001 accreditation.