

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

| Name of permitted activity: | Johnsons Cleaners   | PG Note:       | PG6/46     |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|
| Discussed with:             | Ms Sharon Farrant   | _LA Reference: | B06/07     |
| Inspector's Name:           | D Bass/ H Nicholson | Date:          | 09/12/2011 |

## Operator Performance Appraisal

| Component 1 - Compliance Assessment   |                 |               |          |                   |
|---|-----------------|---------------|----------|-------------------|
| Scale of Non-Compliance   | Possible Scores |               | es       | Scores<br>Awarded |
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition   | 0 points        |               |          |                   |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint   | 5 per incident  |               |          |                   |
| (C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action   | 10 per incident |               | t        |                   |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution   | 15 per incident |               | t        |                   |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice  | 20 per incident |               | t        |                   |
| Total   | (Max. 50)       |               | 0        |                   |
| * Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unrea<br>linked to an incident at the process.   | asonable o      | or which c    | annot be | clearly           |
| Scoring for Component 2 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Rec   | ords            |               |          |                   |
| Criterion   | Possible Scores |               | ores     | Scores            |
|   | Yes             | No            | N/A      | Awarded           |
| (A) Are loads weighed & weights recorded for all loads? Does the operator maintain a weekly inventory of solvent usage, product cleaned, and solvent waste sent for recovery or disposal? | 0               | <del>10</del> | θ        | 0                 |
| (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?   | θ               | θ             | 0        | 0                 |
| (C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?  | θ               | 5             | 0        | 0                 |
| (D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?   | 0               | ф             | θ        | 0                 |
| (E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?  | 0               | 5             | θ        | 0                 |
| (F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?   | -5              | <del>10</del> | θ        | -5                |
| Total score   | (-5 to 35) -5   |               | -5       |                   |

| Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility   |                 |             |        |         |
|---|-----------------|-------------|--------|---------|
| Criterion   | Possible Scores |             | Scores |         |
| Chterion  | Yes             | No          | N/A    | Awarded |
| (A) Are all operating staff aware of where the operating manual for each dry cleaning machine can be found and do they have ready access to it? | 0               | 5           | θ      | 0       |
| (B) Are nominated trained members of staff exclusively permitted to operate the machines?   | 0               | 5           | θ      | 0       |
| (C) Are all operating staff trained in the operation of each dry cleaning machine and the control and use of dry cleaning solvents?             | 0               | 5           | θ      | 0       |
| (D) Are records kept of training received by operating staff?   | 0               | 5           | θ      | 0       |
| (E) Are suitably trained or experienced staff on site while machines are operating?   | 0               | 5           | θ      | 0       |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?   | -5              | θ           | θ      | -5      |
| Total   | (-5 to 25) -5   |             | -5     |         |
| Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal  | Range           | e -10 to 11 | 0      | -10     |

| Overall scores  | Score given |
|---|-------------|
| Operator Performance Appraisal                        |             |
| Compliance Assessment                                 | 0           |
| Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records     | -5          |
| Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility | -5          |
| Total score   | -10         |

| OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS                 | Range -10 to 110 | -10 |
|---|------------------|-----|
| REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY                    |                  |     |
| * high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30 | LOW, MED, HIGH   | LOW |

## Comments

Loads and solvents used inputted on weekly form and inputted into till for head office to complete

annual report. Emissions currently meet condition. Daily/weekly checks undertaken by shop staff

and recorded with machine maintenance undertaken by engineer. Site has handbook available

and staff are trained on machine usage with records kept. Company has an environmental

management system.