

## **Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control**

PG6/02 &

Name of permitted activity: Anglo ERI Limited PG Note: PG6/33

Mr Peter Moore LA Reference: B11/06 Discussed with:

Dave Bass & Mel Draper Inspector's Name: Date: 14/03/2012

Possible S	Possible Scores		Score warded
10	10		
20	20 30		20
30			
Possible S	Possible Scores		Score warded
5			
10			
0	<b>0</b> -10		0
-10			
Sensit	tivity of R	ecep	tors
High	Mediu	m	Low
20	<del>12</del>		5
<del>12</del>	10		3
5	3		4
0	0		0
	10 20 30 Possible S 5 10 0 -40 Sensit High 20 42 5	10 20 30 Possible Scores 5 10 0 -10 Sensitivity of R High Mediu 20 12 12 10 5 3 0 0	20 30 Possible Scores 5 40 0 -10 Sensitivity of Recept High Medium 20 12 12 10 5 3

All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes. Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

## **Component 4 - Other Targets**

	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	<del>10</del>	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total Score for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	40

**Operator Performance Appraisal** 

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Poss	ible Scor	es	Scores Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition	0 points			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint	5 per incident		t	
(C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action	10 p	er inciden	it	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 p	er inciden	it	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident		ıt	
Total	(Max. 50)			0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unr linked to an incident at the process.	easonable	e or which	cannot	be clearly
Where facility has been on Reduced Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	d Operati	ng Level	S	
(f) Failure to notify the regulator or restart or increase in level of operation to above the threshold requiring a permit at the installation in accordance with the acceptance letter		25		
Total (applies only when condition F has been breached)		Max 75)		
Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Ro				
Criterion		ssible Sco	ores	Scores Awarded
	Yes	No	N/A	
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the permit?	0	<del>10</del>	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	<del>-5</del>	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with permit?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total score		(-5 to 30)	)	0
Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
Criterion	Pos	sible Sc	ores	Scores
Citerion	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the permit?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	<del>5</del>	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total		(-5 to 25)	)	-5
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		e -10 to 10 (130)	)5	-5

Overall scores	Score given
Environmental Impact Appraisal	
Inherent Environmental Impact Potential	20
Progress with Upgrading	0
Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors	20
Other Targets	0
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	0
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	35

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 175 (200)	35
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >80, medium 40-80 and low <40	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

## **Comments**

Company has been taken over so new owner details required. Permit currently in its sixth year so
periodic review required. VOC usage information to be supplied. This was discussed before
submission because of extremely high VOC usage in last year. Operator has reduced significantly
VOC usage and is expecting to be below SED requirement again. All records of usage still
undertaken and kept. There is currently no formal environmental management system for the new
company (it is being developed) but they are still looking at environmental option and is again
planning to install a biomass boiler.