

Risk Assessment for Local Air Pollution Control

Name of permitted activity:	Kleeman MC110R PL538	PG	Note:	PG3/1	6	
Discussed with:	Mr Mick Prestidge	_A Reference: B0		B05/0	4	
Inspector's Name:	Dave Bass	Date: 26		26/09/	6/09/2012	
Onorotor Portormanao An	project		-			
Operator Performance Ap						
Scale of Non-Compliance			ole Score	s	Scores Awarded	
A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of specific permit condition or of general/residual BAT condition			points			
B) Incident leading to a justified complaint		5 pe	r incident			
C) Breach of permit not leading to formal action		10 per incident				
Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution		15 pe	er incident			
E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice			er incident	:		
otal		(M	(Max. 50)		0	
Unjustified complaints may be e.g. tinked to an incident at the process.	hose considered by the inspector to be unre	asonable o	r which ca	annot be	clearly	
Where facility has been on Reduce	d Charge due to Mothballing or Reduced	Operating	Levels			
	or restart or increase in level of operation to ermit at the installation in accordance with the					
tal (applies only when condition F has been breached) (Max 75)		Max 75)				
Scoring for Component 2 - Assess	ment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Rec	1				
criterion		Possible Scores		ores	Scores Awarded	
A) A	1: 4	Yes	No	N/A	Awarueu	
 A) Are emissions monitored as requi are emissions and emissions monitor 	red in the permit? ring recorded as required in the permit?	0	10	0	0	
B) Monitoring requirements reduced compliance?	because results over time show consistent	0	0	0	0	
C) Is an appropriate maintenance sc	hedule in place and available on request?	0	5	0	0	
D) Fully documented and adhered ermit?	to maintenance programme, in line with	0	5	0	0	
E) Full documented records as requi	red in permit available on-site?	0	5	0	0	
F) Has the operator notified the regu	lator promptly of all relocations of all plant?	-5	10	0	-5	
otal score		(-5 to 35)			-5	

Component 3 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			Scores
Criterion		No	N/A	Awarded
(A) Are procedures in place to ensure proper management, supervision and training for process operations, proper use of equipment and effective preventative maintenance on all plant and equipment concerned with the control of emissions to air?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Does the operator maintain, and make available on request, a statement of training requirements for each operational post?	0	5	0	0
(D) Are all staff with responsibility for operating the process sufficiently trained to be aware of their responsibilities under the permit, minimising emissions on start up and shut down and taking action to minimise emissions during abnormal conditions?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	θ	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total	(-5 to 25)		-5	
Total Score for Operator Performance Appraisal		Range -10 to 110 (135)		-10

Overall scores	Score given
Operator Performance Appraisal	
Compliance Assessment	0
Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records	-5
Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility	-5
Total score	-10

OVERALL SCORE FOR THE PROCESS	Range -10 to 110 (135)	-10
REGULATORY EFFORT CATEGORY		
* high=score of >55, medium 30-55 and low <30	LOW, MED, HIGH	LOW

Comments

Daily checks being undertaken by operator. Appropriate dust suppression used depending on
circumstances on both crusher and stockpiles. Maintenance undertaken in-house. Transport office
notifies HDC of movements. Company has their own environment management system.